

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BRUCE WILCOX, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES,
LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:20-cv-01545-JAD-NJK

ORDER

[Docket No. 91]

Plaintiffs have filed a notice on the Docket. *See* Docket No. 91 (notice of false declarations).

District courts have authority to strike an improper filing under their inherent power to control the docket. *E.g., Ready Transp., Inc. v. AAR Mfg., Inc.*, 627 F.3d 402, 404 (9th Cir. 2010); *Metzger v. Hussman*, 682 F. Supp. 1109, 1110-11 (D. Nev. 1988). “Striking material under the Court’s inherent power is wholly discretionary.” *Fed. Nat’l Mortg. Assoc. v. Willis*, 2016 WL 11247554, at *1 (D. Nev. Oct. 14, 2016). Courts also have a general duty to avoid deciding unnecessary issues. *See, e.g., U.S. Sec. & Exch. Comm’n v. Jensen*, 835 F.3d 1100, 1113 n.6 (9th Cir. 2016). In deciding whether to exercise their discretion to strike an improper filing, courts consider whether striking the filing would “further the overall resolution of the action,” and whether the filer has a history of excessive and repetitive filing that have complicated proceedings. *Jones v. Skolnik*, 2015 WL 685228, at *2 (D. Nev. Feb. 18, 2015).

Plaintiffs’ filings present no dispute to the Court to resolve in furthering the resolution of this action. Additionally, the filings fail to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Court’s Local Rules. *See, e.g., Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(b); Local Rule 7-2.*

Accordingly, the Clerk’s Office is **INSTRUCTED** to strike the filing at Docket No. 91.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 12, 2023


Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge